

D 6.5- Policy Synthesis and Integrative Report on Mismatch and Migration

Lucia Mýtna Kureková, Slovak Governance Institute
Renate Ortlieb, University of Graz

**STYLE-WP6:
Mismatch: Migration**

Submission date - Planned: 31/08/16

Actual: 14/07/16



STYLE Working Papers are peer-reviewed outputs from the www.style-research.eu project. The series is edited by the project coordinator Professor Jacqueline O'Reilly. These working papers are intended to meet the European Commission's expected impact from the project:

- i) to 'advance the knowledge base that underpins the formulation and implementation of relevant policies in Europe with the aim of enhancing the employment of young people and their transition to economic and social independence', and
- ii) to engage with 'relevant communities, stakeholders and practitioners in the research with a view to supporting employment policies in Europe.' Contributions to a dialogue about these results can be made through the project website www.style-research.eu, or by following us on Twitter @STYLEEU.

To cite this report:

Kureková, L.M. and R. Ortlieb (2016) *Policy Synthesis and Integrative Report on Mismatch and Migration* STYLE Working Papers, WP6.5. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton. <http://www.style-research.eu/publications>

© Copyright is held by the authors

About the authors

Lucia Mýtna Kureková

– <http://www.style-research.eu/team/lucia-myt-na-kurekova/>

Renate Ortlieb

– <http://www.style-research.eu/team/renate-ortlieb/>

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no. 613256.

Executive Summary

This paper is a synthesis report for Work Package 6 – Mismatch: Migration and Mobility - in the STYLE project. It summarizes the focus, key findings and policy themes of this work package, which examined the conditions under which intra-EU youth mobility improves labour market chances of young people. It consisted of interrelated tasks analysing the selectivity of recent intra-EU migration, migrants' and returnees' integration patterns, and the role of labour market actors, policies and institutions in facilitating better labour market outcomes of migrants and returnees. It covered a number of receiving and sending countries in Europe that were affected by the 2008-2009 economic crisis to different degrees. The report raises five policy themes: labour market underperformance of intra-EU migrants; gendered character of labour mobility; scope for greater role of public institutions in improving labour market outcomes of migrants and returnees; continued challenge of over-qualification and benefits and challenges of online data and comparative research in migration studies.

Key words:

Intra-EU mobility, labour market, youth, synthesis, policy

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	6
1.1	Return migration after the crisis.....	6
1.2	Working conditions and labour market intermediaries	7
1.3	Re-emerging migration patterns: structures and policy lessons	8
1.4	Labour market outcomes and integration of youth migrants: comparative view	9
2.	Emerging policy lessons	11
2.1	Anti-discriminatory practices and integration tools are needed for intra-EU mobility as well as for third-country immigrants	11
2.2	Gender is a salient factor in migration and return	12
2.3	Public institutions can better facilitate labour market integration of migrants and returnees ..	12
2.4	Skill matching continues to be a challenge	12
2.5	Methodological implications.....	13
3.	Bibliography.....	14
4.	Recent titles in this series	15
5.	Research Partners.....	21
6.	Advisory Groups	22

Abbreviations

A2	The two countries that joined the EU in 2007
A8	The eight countries that joined the EU in 2004
CEE	Central and Eastern Europe
CV	Curriculum Vitae
EFTA	European Free Trade Association
ESS	European Social Survey
EU	European Union
EU8	The eight countries that joined the EU in 2004
EURES	European Employment Services
LFS	Labour Force Survey
PES	Public Employment Services
UK	United Kingdom

1. Introduction

This report summarizes the focus, key findings and policy themes of Work Package 6 which examined the conditions under which intra-EU youth mobility improves labour market chances of young people. It consisted of interrelated tasks analysing the selectivity of recent intra-EU migration, migrants' and returnees' integration patterns, and the role of labour market actors, policies and institutions in facilitating better labour market outcomes of migrants and returnees. It covered a number of receiving and sending countries in Europe that were affected by the 2008-2009 economic crisis to different degrees.

The research was organized around these four tasks:

Task 6.1. Return migration after the crisis

Task 6.2. Working conditions and labour market intermediaries

Task 6.3. Re-emerging migration patterns: structures and policy lessons

Task 6.4. Labour market outcomes and integration of youth migrants: comparative view

1.1 Return migration after the crisis

This task analysed return migration of young people (15–34 years) in two CEE countries – Estonia and Slovakia. The countries share a similar history of outmigration, but differ in other important respects, such as the social policies or the impact of the crisis. The thematic focus of the report was particularly on the selection of migrants and returnees and the integration trajectories of the returnees in the home labour markets. The authors used a mixed-method approach to analysing return migration. They used data from numerous sources, including Labour Force Survey data, online CV data, administrative data, and interviews with stakeholders and returnees, census data (Estonia) and web survey data (Slovakia).

The key findings are that foreign work experience generally brings positive benefits to individuals and is viewed rather positively also by employers in both countries. Young Estonian returnees reap benefits in terms of higher wages, but no evidence was found of a positive effect of migration and return on the upward occupational mobility of the returnees. In Slovakia, young individuals with post-accession foreign work experience are more attractive in the labour market than those without such a record. Return migrants in Slovakia initially face a higher risk of short-term unemployment, but they exit unemployment registries at a higher rate than stayers. Estonian returnees that register with the labour office, however, exit the registry at slower rate than the unemployed in general. Unemployment of Slovak returnees might be 'voluntary' in the sense of taking time to find a job that matches their skills and preferences (for example in terms of the expected wage). Generally, positive results in terms of re-integration are facilitated in both countries by the fact that returnees are positively selected in terms of education.

While employers in both countries perceive returnees mostly positively, it matters what type of experience the returnees gained with larger benefits for having worked in a matching field or having developed skills needed in the labour market. In both countries, migrants as well as employers attribute a set of skills conducive to success in the job search and good performance at work to foreign work experience. In addition to language, social skills, a positive approach, a problem-solving attitude and greater cultural sensitivity and tolerance are also assets.

Returnees expect higher salary, which is viewed ambiguously by employers. This might in particular make matching more difficult in the less skilled and less paid segments of the labour market. Through higher wage expectations, returnees might exert pressure on wage growth in home economies. Returnees are more ready to apply for positions advertised abroad than stayers, suggesting possibilities for re-emigration and circular patterns of mobility. In Slovakia, those migrants who decided to work abroad due to low salaries in Slovakia had higher chances of facing difficulties in re-integration into the labour market after returning.

Regarding the role of institutions in the re-integration process, especially high-skilled young returnees bypass institutions and tend to rely on personal networks and family, while the internet serves as the key job search tool. Welfare policies are not a driver of return or re-integration in Slovakia and Estonia, but access to services is considered and evaluated in the migration decision and upon return, especially with respect to family-related issues (i.e. maternity benefits, health care). Unemployment benefits provide a framework for possibly better job-skill matching for returnees. Evidence in the Slovak case study implies that return is typically not driven by worsened labour market conditions abroad, but a combination of individual-level factors and opportunities in the home country. From this perspective, the recent financial crisis had more of a contextual rather than deterministic effect on return decisions of Slovak migrants.

Reference: Masso, J., Kureková, L. M., Tverdostup, M., and Žilinčíková, Z. (2016) *Return migration patterns of young return migrants after the crises in the CEE countries: Estonia and Slovakia*, STYLE Working Papers, WP6.1. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.

1.2 Working conditions and labour market intermediaries

This task explored the role of public and private labour market intermediaries (public employment services (PES), temporary employment agencies) for youth mobility in Europe. Positioned between employers and job seekers, labour market intermediaries often are involved in transnational recruiting processes. The report analysed recruiting strategies of employers and job search strategies of young migrants, thereby also taking account of the working conditions of young migrants.

The research used a comparative design, focusing on the situation of young EU8 migrants in Austria and young Swedes in Norway. Austria and Norway provide particularly attractive job prospects to migrants, since in comparison with other countries, youth unemployment rates are low, wage levels are high and working conditions are good. Furthermore, in both countries, several industries are characterised by labour shortages.

The research concentrated on three industries with a high demand for labour: tourism, care/health and high-tech. It draws on 116 interviews, conducted with young migrants, employers, labour market intermediaries and other experts.

The results indicate that employers in Austria and Norway are interested in recruiting young migrants from neighbouring EU countries, because they need great numbers of flexible workers. Young migrants are attracted by good job opportunities – in particular by comparatively high salaries, attractive career prospects and good working conditions. Further important drivers for young people to apply for jobs in Austria or Norway are geographical proximity, good language skills and a certain spirit of adventure. On the other hand, major obstacles for job matching are information deficits, lacking social networks and insufficient foreign language skills.

Labour market intermediaries can help to overcome barriers within the transnational recruiting/job search process. These institutions can have several functions. For instance, they provide information or they serve as matchmakers who manage the entire recruiting process. Some labour market intermediaries do the complete administrative work for both employers and young migrants.

According to the research findings and for the specific sectors under consideration, mainly private companies are involved in transnational recruiting/job search processes. In contrast, public labour market intermediaries (i.e. PES) only play a minor role. The importance of intermediaries varies across industries and between the two countries. For instance, in Austria, in the 24-hour care sector they are key players, who recruit women from EU8 countries to work in private households. Many of them get support by other intermediary agencies located in EU8 countries in order to reach young people who are willing to work in Austria. In Norway, the role of labour market intermediaries is related to the worker skill level. They are more important for high-skilled migrants than for the lower skilled.

In both countries, labour market intermediaries have powerful positions in the triangular relationship between themselves, employers and young migrants. Their impact on working conditions is strong, but ambivalent. On the one hand, they have the power to secure good working conditions for young migrants by counselling and controlling the employer. On the other hand, since usually they consider employers as their main clients, they feel more committed to employers than to migrants. As a consequence, the position of young migrants vis-à-vis employers and employment agencies is weaker, bearing the risk of exploitation.

This research underlines the importance of labour market intermediaries for youth migration in Europe. However, the authors advocate for drawing more attention on the needs of young migrants. As one strategy to achieve this objective they suggest that public labour market intermediaries should take a more active role in transnational recruiting/job search and matching processes of young migrants in Europe.

Reference: Hyggen, C., Ortlieb, R., Sandlie, H.C. & Weiss, S. (2016) *East-West and North-North Migrating Youth and the Role of Labour Market Intermediaries. The Case of Austria and Norway*, STYLE Working Papers, WP6.2. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.

1.3 Re-emerging migration patterns: structures and policy lessons

This task focused on intra-European migration flows from Southern and Eastern Europe to the rest of Europe. The authors consistently compared Southern and Eastern European migration patterns with figures on internal European migration from the rest of the EU as well as migration from non-EU countries. This provided a broader picture of changing and dynamic migrant reservoir in Europe over time. A combination of secondary sources, analysis of descriptive macro data on migration flows and econometric analysis of micro data on labour market outcome of migrants with an emphasis on youth were used.

The descriptive analysis using aggregate country data suggested that even though migration from non-European countries is massive, the intra-European flows from Southern and Eastern Europe are non-negligible with comparable emigration rates and differing trends and composition in the post-war period. In the past, geographical proximity seems to lead migrants to choose nearby host countries

more than countries further away, such as Spanish inflows to France or Polish inflows to Germany. In 2000s such traditional trends seem to change, whereby Spanish migrants head rather to the UK and Polish migrants go to Ireland.

With regards to the discourse on the Eastern enlargement of European Union, the analysis suggested that rather than linking emigration from Eastern Europe to mainly EU membership, empirical evidence points to a much more continuous process of opening since the fall of the Iron Curtain in 1989, which was further accelerated by the EU accession. In terms of skill composition of intra-European migrants, review of post-war European guest-worker programs shows that such schemes mainly targeted low-skilled, male, and relatively young workers from Southern Europe, Turkey, Yugoslavia, and Maghreb until the mid-1970s. Compared to such inflows, the next decades have witnessed a shift in terms of migrant types: Europe has largely received family and political migrants (asylum seekers and/or refugees) until 1990s. Only since 2000s has high-skilled labour migration gained more prominence in Europe.

The econometric analysis using pooled micro data from a Europe-wide survey, which allows studying the youth migrants and nationals in more detail, suggested that observable characteristics explain part of the differential labour market performance of migrants. In particular, the results highlighted that migrants from Eastern and Southern Europe display important differences vis-à-vis natives in certain labour outcomes such as employment, unemployment, and over-qualification at occupation held, even after taking into account differences in demographic and socio-economic characteristics. The unexplained differences could be due to unobserved heterogeneity among individuals and/or differential treatment in the host country labour markets in the form of discrimination.

Reference: Akgüç, M., & Beblavý, M. (2016) *From South or East? Re-emerging European Migration Patterns and Labor Market Outcomes* STYLE Working Papers, WP6.3. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.

1.4 Labour market outcomes and integration of youth migrants: comparative view

Recent youth migrants are at a double-disadvantage in the labour market. They face young peoples' education to employment transition challenges as well as difficulties of foreign labour market entrants. This task focused on three receiving countries, Germany, Norway and the UK, and investigated the labour market integration of recent young EU citizen migrants with a specific focus on the comparison between migrants from Central and Eastern Europe and Southern Europe. The authors investigated the degree of integration, the relationship with migrants' country of origin, also given variation in application of transitional measures across the receiving countries and sending country groups, and the potential effect of the post-2008 economic crisis.

Using national Labour Force Survey data from 2004/2005-2012/2014, the report finds a relatively high degree of integration in terms of employment for intra-EU migrants – more so in the UK and Norway than in Germany though – particularly compared to third country nationals, contrasted by integration into poor quality jobs, including atypical employment. While there is a surprising similarity in the labour market integration of young EU migrant citizen across Germany, Norway, and the UK, a marked stratification by country-of-origin associations exist in terms of working conditions, qualification-occupation mismatches and wages. In particular, irrespective of transition regimes or EU

or EFTA membership, recent EU youth migrants labour market outcomes are stratified by their region of origin, with CEE (A8), Bulgarian and Romanian (A2) youth doing worst, EU-South youth taking a middle position¹, and youth from the remaining EU countries doing better than their native peers. Notably this stratification can be observed for these migrant groups without investigating their more detailed demographic characteristics.

In terms of employment quality, youth migrants from Northern and Western Europe show similar outcomes to the respective nationals and thereby fare substantially better than those from CEE (A2 and A8) and EU-South countries and in particular third country nationals. The three case studies also contain evidence that CEE intra EU migrants fare worse on many employment quality indicators than EU-South intra EU migrants. No substantial differences pre-/post-crisis seem to exist for the UK. For Germany some evidence suggests that transitional arrangements had both quantitative and qualitative impacts on labour market integration. For Norway, the findings suggest a strengthening of labour market segmentation. Finally, young EU migrant citizens have a lower probability of claiming unemployment benefits, which potentially suggest a poor secondary labour market integration and higher vulnerability to employment risks.

Two positive findings of the study are that young EU migrant citizens are rather well integrated in the respective labour markets when it comes to employment, albeit with the exception of the third country youth group. The second positive observation is that the economic crisis seems not negatively relate to young EU migrant citizens' labour market integration. In the German case the post-2008 period even saw an improvement of the situation for youth from Central and Eastern Europe in some of the indicators in line with the German labour market having been relatively resilient to the economic crisis.

Reference: Leschke, J., Seeleib-Kaiser, M., Spreckelsen T., Hyggen, C. & Sandlie, H.C. (2016) *Labour market outcomes and integration of recent youth migrants from Central-Eastern and Southern Europe in Germany, Norway and Great Britain*. STYLE Working Papers, WP6.4. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.

¹ The Norwegian data does not include usable information on EU-South migrants.

2. Emerging policy lessons

The four research tasks altogether converge on a set of policy themes that we identify below in greater detail.

2.1 Anti-discriminatory practices and integration tools are needed for intra-EU mobility as well as for third-country immigrants

Confirming other research about labour market performance of migrants, this work package finds further evidence about worse labour market outcomes of intra-EU and third country migrants in particular (Task 6.3 and 6.4). We contribute with showing internal variation in the performance. For example, recent EU youth migrants labour market outcomes in the quality of employment and wages are stratified by their region of origin, with CEE (A8), Bulgarian and Romanian (A2) youth doing worst, EU-South youth taking a middle position, and youth from the remaining EU countries doing better than their native peers. This might reflect the fact that policies continue to be designed in a way that migrant workers have suboptimal social conditions and limited civil rights (transitional arrangements, temporary working schemes for third-country nationals). We find that labour market intermediaries are not necessarily neutral and serve interests of employers rather than of migrants. They might contribute to leaving young migrants in jobs with poor working conditions: low pay for long working hours, short term contracts etc, rather than counterbalancing this phenomenon.

Among policy tools to address the existing labour market segmentation of CEE migrants in particular, we suggest to:

- strengthen the role of public labour market intermediaries
- increase monitoring and regulation of private intermediaries to secure good working conditions for young migrants
- improve career and training opportunities to help young migrants to develop their skills at work and to participate to training programmes that support them to get access to jobs that fit to their skills and interests
- consider providing financial support to young migrants (see Box 1 for best practice example)

Box 1: Example of Best Practices: Jobbresan (The job travel)

In response to soaring youth unemployment in some Swedish municipalities an innovative project was launched in collaboration between the municipality, the public employment service and the social security administration. The project was coordinated by the Nordic council of ministers. Focusing on the needs of the young unemployed a model called *Söderhamnsmodellen* was developed, consisting of three steps aimed at removing the main obstacles faced by young labour migrants from Sweden to Norway: a lack of capital and work experience, lack of networks in the country of destination and advice on how to find work and place to live in Norway. The project recruited long-term unemployed living off unemployment benefits or social assistance in Sweden. The young unemployed were offered some initial courses in writing CVs, applying for jobs, how to perform in a job interview and general training in Norwegian language and culture. After a short period of training they were sent by bus to the Norwegian capital Oslo. In Oslo they were offered shared housing with expenses paid for a month. During the first days they were instructed on practicalities related to bank-accounts, work permit and job-search and invited to an introductory meeting with local representatives from the labour union providing information on rights and obligations in the Norwegian labour market. Experiences from the participants were positive, they enjoyed being together as a group sharing information and experiences, they had readily available assistance to assess job-offers and contracts etc. As a result, a large share found jobs within a short period of time.

2.2 Gender is a salient factor in migration and return

Youth labour mobility and return is a gendered phenomenon. For example, research about labour market intermediaries (Task 6.2.) found that young men are somewhat more mobile than young women. Furthermore, we found the typical gender segregation between different industries with a larger number of women working in reproductive professions – as 24-hour caregivers in Austria or as nurses in Norway – and with a large share of men working in technical fields. In the 24-hour care sector in Austria, there is also an obvious vertical gender segregation: most of the caregivers from EU8 countries are women while many of the intermediating persons are men.

The analysis of return migration (Task 6.1.) finds that foreign work experience and returns might contribute to decreasing the gender pay gap in the home labour market as evidenced by the Estonian case. The benefit of return migration for the Estonian labour market materializes through decreasing the gender wage disparity particularly among the youth. It might be worth further investigating the question of temporary intra-EU mobility as a vehicle for decreasing gender inequalities and studying the conditions under which this effect takes place.

Policies should have these gender issues in mind and adjust policies to acknowledge that gender might be a further intervening factor in migration process and in returning to home country that significantly affects choices and alternatives in destination countries and in the country of origin when returning.

2.3 Public institutions can better facilitate labour market integration of migrants and returnees

We find that the role of public institutions in improving labour market integration of migrants and returnees could be enhanced. First, labour market intermediaries, like the local public employment services or educational institutions, could play a more crucial role as information providers for youth that is interested in moving abroad to get a job. We suggest to foster international collaboration of the public employment services, e.g. in form of the network of EURES that was established for this purpose. Furthermore, social media should be considered as an important communication tool to reach young people. Second, there is scope for public institutions to provide better assistance upon return and to facilitate integration. For example, return migrants can become a target category in labour offices. Importantly, inequalities exist among returnees and not all returnees are on an equal footing in terms of their abilities. While many returnees circumvent formal institutions, there are still many who approach them and can be reached by effective policy that they most likely also need. In particular, returnees disadvantaged in terms of gender, age or ethnicity might be of more need of assistance from public intermediaries in their re-integration process.

2.4 Skill matching continues to be a challenge

Over-qualification of intra-EU migrants and poor matching continues to be a challenge. We find that the implications of skill mismatch are important. For returnees it matters what type of experience migrants gain abroad, whether it is relevant to the field and whether it results in a demonstrable set of hard and soft skills. From this perspective, tools facilitating the matching of migrants to jobs, such as EURES, employment agencies or well-designed job portals can be very useful. Matching should be encouraged by decreasing information asymmetries in intra-EU mobility.

A further focus to enhance matching should be given to improving language skills of migrants. Insufficient language skills make it difficult to get in contact with people in the country of destination in order to have better access to housing. Programmes that help to improve language skills and to foster transnational networks are helpful to overcome obstacles in this stage. An increased focus on intra-EU exchange during education may be one way, subsidised language courses or increased opportunities for financial support for participating in language training are further forms of facilitating language skill development.

The non-recognition of foreign qualification and experience and the above mentioned insufficient language skills may force young migrant to take-up jobs below their skill level. This may negatively affect matching quality as well as work contracts and working conditions for the young migrants. Here again, public (or private) labour market intermediaries or labour unions could be mediators that stand between employers and employees that negotiate working contracts and working conditions. These services could be set up as a web-based service or as an actual contact point for migrants. Continued efforts to standardise educational criteria and to develop a European dictionary of education and grades may be another strategy to help migrants to get adequate positions and employers to get employees and workers with the right qualifications and motivation.

At the same time, the research findings indicate that intra-EU wage differentials may present an obstacle for young people from Eastern Europe to develop professional careers. For instance, young women from Slovakia working as 24-hour caregivers in private households in Austria receive comparatively high wages, but these jobs do not offer long-term career development opportunities. Thus, it might be important that young people have opportunities to put less emphasis on current income but to invest into professional training opening up long-term career prospects instead.

2.5 Methodological implications

Our research has several methodological implications. First, across research tasks we faced difficulties with the suitability and quality of representative datasets for migration research: samples are often small and representative datasets and data bases might not capture the large variety of existing migration patterns: e.g. commuting, seasonal working, student working, short-term employment, posting etc. For example, 24-hours care workers usually work in two-week cycles with the primary residence in their home country. Thus, they might not appear in official statistics of the receiving country even if their number is considerably high. Furthermore, the analysis of integration patterns of young migrants most likely included a 'better integrated' group of recent migrants and those with sufficiently good language skills to participate in the survey. There is therefore a great need for better data about migration.

Second, given the limitations of representative datasets (LFS, ESS), we showed that new sources of data, such as online data (online CVs, web surveys) can be used to analyse labour mobility from perspectives that representative dataset do not allow. Third, our research has shown that comparative frameworks both from the sending and receiving country perspective can help us understand the role of institutional or macroeconomic factors, or find important differences across countries and over time that help us to better understand underlying causes and consequences of intra-EU mobility. Fourth, because of the diversity of migration patterns the combination of qualitative and quantitative methods is very useful and it enables to capture a more holistic picture of youth migration in Europe.

3. Bibliography

- Akgüç, M., & Beblavý, M. (2016) *From South or East? Re-emerging European Migration Patterns and Labor Market Outcomes* STYLE Working Papers, WP6.3. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.
- Hyggen, C., Ortlieb, R., Sandlie, H.C. & Weiss, S. (2016) *East-West and North-North Migrating Youth and the Role of Labour Market Intermediaries. The Case of Austria and Norway*, STYLE Working Papers, WP6.2. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.
- Masso, J., Kureková, L. M., Tverdostup, M., and Žilinčíková, Z. (2016) *Return migration patterns of young return migrants after the crises in the CEE countries: Estonia and Slovakia*, STYLE Working Papers, STYLE-WP 6.1. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.
- Leschke, J., Seeleib-Kaiser, M., Spreckelsen T., Hyggen, C. & Sandlie, H.C. (2016) *Labour market outcomes and integration of recent youth migrants from Central-Eastern and Southern Europe in Germany, Norway and Great Britain*. STYLE Working Papers, WP6.4. CROME, University of Brighton, Brighton.

4. Recent titles in this series

Available at: <http://www.style-research.eu/publications/working-papers>

WP3 POLICY PERFORMANCE

Key Indicators and Drivers of Youth Unemployment

Hadjivassiliou, Kirchner Sala and Speckesser (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.1 Indicators and Drivers of Youth Unemployment](#)

The Effectiveness of Policies to combat Youth Unemployment

Gonzalez Carreras, Kirchner Sala and Speckesser (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.2 Policies to combat Youth Unemployment](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Qualitative Country Case Studies

Eichhorst, Hadjivassiliou and Wozny (eds.)(2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Policy Performance and Evaluation – Synthesis Report](#)

Country Reports

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Germany

Eichhorst, Wozny and Cox (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Germany](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Estonia

Eamets and Humal (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Estonia](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Spain

González-Menéndez, Mato, Gutiérrez, Guillén, Cueto and Tejero (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Spain](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Netherlands

Bekker, van de Meer, Muffels and Wilthagen (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Netherlands](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Poland

Ślęzak and Szopa (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Poland](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Sweden

Wadensjö (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Sweden](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: Turkey

Gökşen, Yüksek, Kuz and Öker (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance Turkey](#)

Policy Performance and Evaluation: United Kingdom

Hadjivassiliou, Tassinari, Speckesser, Swift and Bertram (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP3.3 Performance UK](#)

WP4 POLICY TRANSFER**Barriers to and triggers of innovation and knowledge transfer**

Petmesidou and González-Menéndez (eds.)(2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP4.1 Barriers to and triggers of policy innovation and knowledge transfer](#)**Country Reports****Barriers to and triggers for innovation and knowledge transfer in Belgium**

Martellucci and Marconi (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Belgium](#)**Barriers to and triggers of policy innovation and knowledge transfer in Denmark**

Carstensen and Ibsen (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Denmark](#)**Barriers to and triggers for innovation and knowledge transfer in Spain**

González-Menéndez, Guillén, Cueto, Gutiérrez, Mato and Tejero (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Spain](#)**Barriers to and triggers for innovation and knowledge transfer in France**

Smith, Toraldo and Pasquier (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report France](#)**Barriers to and triggers for innovation and knowledge transfer in Greece**

Petmesidou and Polyzoidis (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Greece](#)**Barriers to and triggers for innovation and knowledge transfer in the Netherlands**

Bekker, van der Meer and Muffels (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Netherlands](#)**Barriers to and triggers of policy innovation and knowledge transfer in Slovakia**

Veselkova (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Slovakia](#)**Barriers to and Triggers for Innovation and Knowledge Transfer in Turkey**

Gökşen, Yükseker, Kuz and Öker (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report Turkey](#)

Barriers to and Triggers for Innovation and Knowledge Transfer in the UK

Hadjivassiliou, Tassinari and Swift (2015)

[STYLE-D4.1 Country Report UK](#)

Policy learning and innovation processes

Petmesidou and González-Menéndez (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP4.2 Policy learning and innovation processes drawing on EU and national policy frameworks on youth – Synthesis Report](#)

Vulnerable Youth and Gender Mainstreaming

Gökşen, Filiztekin, Smith, Çelik, Öker and Kuz (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP4.3 Vulnerable Youth & Gender in Europe](#)

WP5 MISMATCH: SKILLS AND EDUCATION

A Comparative Time Series Analysis of Overeducation in Europe: Is there a common policy approach?

McGuinness, Bergin and Whelan (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP5.1 Overeducation in Europe](#)

Transitions and labour market flows – who moves and how?

Flek et al. (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP5.2 Youth Transitions and Labour Market Flows](#)

Are student workers crowding out low-skilled youth?

Beblavý, Fabo, Mýtna Kureková, and Žilinčíková (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP5.3 Are student workers crowding out the low skilled youth](#)

Recruitment Methods & Educational Provision effects on Graduate Over-Education and Over-Skilling

McGuinness, Bergin and Whelan (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP 5.4 Report Recruitment Methods](#)

WP6 MISMATCH: MIGRATION

Return Migration after the crisis Slovakia and Estonia

Masso, Mýtna Kureková, Tverdostup and Žilinčíková (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP6.1 Return migration patterns of young return migrants after the crisis in the CEE countries: Estonia and Slovakia](#)

Working Conditions and labour market intermediaries in Norway and Austria

Hyggen, Ortlieb, Sandlie and Weiss (2016)

[Style Working Paper WP6.2 Working conditions and labour market intermediaries Norway and Austria](#)

Re-emerging migration patterns: structures and policy lessons.

Akgüç and Beblavý (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP6.3 Re-emerging Migration Patterns: Structures and Policy Lessons](#)**Comparing Labour Market outcomes and integration of youth migrants**

Leschke et al. (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP6.4 Labour market outcomes and integration of recent youth migrants from Central-Eastern and Southern Europe in Germany, Norway and Great Britain](#)

WP7 SELF-EMPLOYMENT AND BUSINESS START UPS**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment: A Policy Literature Overview**

Sheehan and McNamara (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 Business Start-Ups Youth Self-Employment Policy Literature Review Country Reports](#)**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment in Germany**

Ortlieb and Weiss (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 Germany](#)**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment in Estonia**

Masso and Paes (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 Estonia](#)**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment in Spain**

González Menéndez and Cueto (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 Spain](#)**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment in Ireland**

Sheehan and Mc Namara (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 Ireland](#)**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment in Poland**

Pocztowski, Buchelt and Pauli (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 Poland](#)**Business Start-Ups and Youth Self-Employment in the UK**

Hinks, Fohrbeck and Meager (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.1 UK](#)**Mapping patterns of self-employment**

Masso, Tverdostup, Sheehan, McNamara, Ortlieb, Weiss, Pocztowski, Buchelt, Pauli, González, Cueto, Hinks, Meager and Fohrbeck (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP7.2 Mapping Patterns for Self Employment](#)

WP8 FAMILY DRIVERS

Work-poor and work-rich families: Influence on youth labour market outcomes

Berloffa, Filandri, Matteazzi, Nazio, O'Reilly, Villa and Zuccotti (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP8.1 Work-poor and work-rich families](#)

Family Strategies to cope with poor labour market outcomes

Nazio et al. (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP8.2 Family strategies to cope with poor labour market outcomes](#)

Leaving and returning to the parental home during the economic crisis

Gökşen, Yüksek, Filiztekin, Öker, Kuz, Mazzotta and Parisi (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP8.3 Leaving and returning to the parental home during the economic crisis](#)

WP9 ATTITUDES AND VALUES

Value system shared by young generations towards work and family

Hajdu and Sik (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP9.1 Searching for gaps: are work values of the younger generations changing?](#)

The impact of youth unemployment on social capital

O'Higgins and Stimolo (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP9.2 Youth unemployment and social capital: An experimental approach](#)

Aspirations of vulnerable young people in foster care

Hart, Stubbs, Plexousakis, Georgiadi and Kourkoutas (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP9.3 Aspirations of vulnerable youth in foster care](#)

WP 10 FLEXICURITY

Mapping Flexicurity Performance in the Face of the Crisis: Key Indicators and Drivers of Youth Unemployment

Eamets, Beblavý, Bheemaiah, Finn, Humal, Leschke, Maselli and Smith (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP10.1 Mapping flexibility and security performance in the face of the crisis](#)

Tracing the interface between numerical flexibility and income security for European youth during the economic crisis

Leschke and Finn (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP10.1a Tracing the interface between numerical flexibility and income security for European youth during the economic crisis](#)

Youth School-To-Work Transitions: from Entry Jobs to Career Employment

Berloffa, Matteazzi, Mazzolini, Sandor and Villa (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP10.2 Youth School-To-Work Transitions: from Entry Jobs to Career Employment](#)

Balancing Flexibility and Security in Europe: the Impact on Young People's Insecurity and Subjective Well-being

Russell, Leschke and Smith (2015)

[STYLE Working Paper WP10.3 Balancing Flexibility and Security in Europe: the Impact on Young People's Insecurity and Subjective Well-being](#)

Flexicurity Policies to integrate youth before and after the crisis

Smith and Villa (2016)

[STYLE Working Paper WP10.4 Flexicurity Policies to integrate youth before and after the crisis](#)

5. Research Partners

1. University of Brighton – BBS CROME – United Kingdom
2. Institute for Employment Studies – United Kingdom
3. Institute for the Study of Labor – Germany
4. Centre for European Policy Studies – Belgium
5. TARKI Social Research Institute – Hungary
6. University of Trento – Italy
7. National University of Ireland Galway – Republic of Ireland
8. Democritus University of Thrace – Greece
9. University of Oxford – United Kingdom
10. Economic & Social Research Institute – Republic of Ireland
11. University of Salerno – Italy
12. University of Oviedo – Spain
13. University of Tartu – Estonia
14. Cracow University of Economics – Poland
15. Slovak Governance Institute – Slovakia
16. Metropolitan University Prague – Czech Republic
17. Grenoble School of Management – France
18. University of Tilburg – Netherlands
19. University of Graz – Austria
20. Copenhagen Business School – Denmark
21. Norwegian Social Research – Norway
22. Swedish Institute for Social Research – Sweden
23. Koç University Social Policy Centre – Turkey
24. University of Turin – Italy
25. EurActiv – Belgium

<http://www.style-research.eu/research-organisations>

6. Advisory Groups

Consortium Advisory Network

Business Europe

www.businesseurope.eu

ETUI: European Trade Union Institute

www.etui.org

European Youth Forum

www.youthforum.org

European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions

www.eurofound.europa.eu

ILO: International Labour Office

www.ilo.org

OECD: Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development

www.oecd.org

OSE: Observatoire Sociale Européen

www.ose.be

SOLIDAR: European network of NGOs working to advance social justice in Europe

www.solidar.org

EurActiv

www.euractiv.com

European Commission, DG Employment, Social Affairs & Inclusion

<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=en&catId=1036>

Local Advisory Boards

including employers, unions, policy makers and non-government organisations

www.style-research.eu/project-advisors/local-advisory-boards/